IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

SATURDAY, THE 18TH AUGUST 2007 / 27TH SRAVANA 1929

RP.No. 886 of 2004

IN THE JUDGEMENT IN WA.1812/2003 Dated 15/06/2004

PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS:
---

1. JOS P. EDAMPADAM, VETTICKAL P.O.,
   MULANTHURUTHY, ERANAKULAM DISTRICT.

2. LISIE JOS, CHEPPANATH HOUSE,
   MULANTHURUTHY.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE
SRI.GEM PAUL EDAMPADAM

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE DISTRICT EXECUTIVE OFFICER
   KERALA MOTOR TRANSPORT WORKERS WELFARE
   FUND BOARD, ERNAKULAM.

2. THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED
   BY COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO
   LABOUR DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.P.RAMAKRISHNAN, SC,KMTWF BOARD
R2 BY SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.T.RAVIKUMAR

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L. DATTU, C.J. & K.T. SANKARAN, J.

.....

REVIEW PETITION No. 886 OF 2004

.....

Dated this the 18th August, 2007

O R D E R

K.T. Sankaran, J.:

The only contention put forward in this Review Petition is that in view of the Full Bench decision of this Court in **E.S.I. Corporation vs. Excel Glasses Ltd.** (**2003 (3) KLT 42)**, the judgment in Writ Appeal is liable to be reviewed.

2. The judgment of the Full Bench was reversed by the Supreme Court in **E.S.I.C. vs. C.C. Santhakumar** (**JT 2006 (10) SC 549)**. Therefore the Review Petition is not sustainable.

Accordingly, the Review Petition is dismissed.

H.L. DATTU,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

K.T. SANKARAN,
JUDGE.

lk